

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/758,715                      | 01/16/2004    | Frank Reil           | 05587-00364-US          | 6557             |
| 23416 759                       | 90 07/06/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP |               |                      | PHAN, THIEM D           |                  |
| P O BOX 2207<br>WILMINGTON      |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                 |               |                      | 3729                    |                  |
|                                 | •             |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/758,715   | REIL ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | Tim Phan   | 3729   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 February 2006</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | epted or b) objected to by the I<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See<br>ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).     | on No ed in this National Stage                      |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/04.  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-9, drawn to a process for applying conductor tracks to the surface of a plastic molding, classified in class 29, subclass 848;
  - II. Claim 10, drawn to a molding, classified in class 439, subclass 55;
  - III Claim 11, drawn to the process of using structural foams with conductor tracks, classified in class 29, subclass 846.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product, such as the untreated areas of plastic surface with conductor tracks.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombination and combination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method of using structural foams with conductor tracks as recited in Group III does not require the untreated areas of the plastic surface thereof, as required by Group I. The subcombination, Invention I, has separate utility such as ablating the portions of the plastic surface where there is no conductor track.

Inventions II and III are related as product made and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as ablating the portions of the outer skin of the plastic surface.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III, and vice versa, restriction for examination

Art Unit: 3729

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. If applicants elect the invention of Group II, Claim 10 needs to be amended or (cancelled) in order to place the Claim in proper dependent form, or rewrite the Claim in independent form because currently this is "article" Claim, which is dependent on "method" Claim 1 and is being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous Claim 1.

(See 37 CFR 1.75(c)).

5. A telephone call was made to Richard M. Beck (302-658-9141) on 6/23/06 to discuss the above restriction requirement, and did result in an election being made for Group I (claims 1-9) while Groups II and III (claims 10 and 11) were withdrawn. Applicants are required to cancel these nonelected claims (10 and 11) or take other appropriate action.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention,

Art Unit: 3729

the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

An Office Action on the merits of Claims 1-9 now follows.

## Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of informalities, a proper format of the disclosure as shown below is required. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicants' use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact

Art Unit: 3729

discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP  $\S$  608.05(a).

- "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (1) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Furthermore, a substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schweizer (US

Art Unit: 3729

5,674,414).

With regard to claim 1, Schweizer teaches a method of irradiating a surface of a workpiece, comprising:

- (a) using, as starting material, a molding with at least one plastic surface (Col. 1, line 55), and
- (b) ablating those portions of the plastic surface (Fig. 1, 11) on which no conductor tracks are intended to run, with the aid of a selectively ablating process, so as to form a predetermined pattern of electrically non-conducting sections at the treated areas on the plastic surface, thus producing conductor tracks at the untreated areas on the surface (Col. 2, lines 49-52).

The limitations of the claim "...where the molding comprises a structural foam with a solid outer skin and a cellular core ... the outer skin is electrically conductive," are considered to be of a claimed article, which does not have any impact on the limitation of the ablating step wherein the process for applying conductor tracks to the surface of a plastic molding operates so this manner of operation does not distinguish over the process of Schweizer, and Schweizer at a minimum suggests the claimed method invention.

N.B. Applicants should do well to incorporate these limitations to the ablating step.

With regard to claims 2-4, Schweizer teaches that the selectively ablating process is selected from electromagnetic radiation or laser beam (Fig. 1, 2.1N), which is absorbed by the surface.

With regard to claims 5-8, Schweizer teaches a method of irradiating a surface of a workpiece. The limitations of the claim concerning the structural foam, the cellular core and the conductive particles are considered to be of a claimed article, which does not have any impact on the limitation of the ablating step wherein the process for applying conductor tracks to the surface of a plastic molding operates so this manner of operation does not distinguish over the process of Schweizer, and Schweizer at a minimum suggests the claimed method invention.

With regard to claim 9, Schweizer teaches that the plastic is selected as polyimide (Col. 5, line 22) or polymer or polyester.

### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-4568. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Phan Examiner Art Unit 3729

tp June 29, 2006 A. DEXTER TUGBANG PRIMARY EXAMINER